

From: [ANDERSON Jim M](#)
To: [Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [Chip Humphrey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#)
Subject: 6/6/ Framework Modeling Mtg
Date: 06/02/2006 03:09 PM

Eric,

You left me a v-mail message this AM. Sorry not to return it sooner...., I just got back from a DEQ/EPA/UofP mtg on Triangle Park & M&B. I wanted to set-up a mtg on Monday PM 6/5 to get ready for the 6/6 Framework mtg. You said you wanted to understand what I wanted to meet about. Here are the major issues.

- 1) Walk thru & discuss with the EPA/partners team (at least DEQ)...., issues identified by the LWG & Eric in the 5/31 modeling mtg that neither Bruce, Burt not Jennifer attended. Understand the implications of decisions made in that mtg. We were surprised the 5/31 mtg included discussing & possibly making decisions on important technical issues without Bruce, Burt, &/or Jennifer participating...., especially since there isn't necessarily internal agreement among EPA/partners re: some of the issues.
- 2) Make sure EPA/partners (& Bruce H in particular) are aware of EPA's position & decisions re: the FWM & FTM before the 6/6 Framework mtg (we could probably do this on the 6/6 ride up to SEA if we were all able to go together).
- 3) Give Bruce a clearer idea of what's expected of him. Bruce thought EPA would do the modeling & let LWG catch-up. Bruce spent a lot of time working to link a FWM & FTM per EPA direction...., now it looks like LWG will do the modeling. Do we expect LWG to produce an acceptable model?
- 4) It looks like the FWM will strictly be used to develop Eco & HH PRGs...., & will not be used in the FS. Is this what we want?

James M. Anderson
DEQ Northwest Region
Portland Harbor Section
Phone (503) 229-6825
Fax (503) 229-6899